

Filed 2/15/01 by Clerk of Supreme Court
IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

2001 ND 25

In the Interest of J.S.

William Pryatel, M.D.,

Petitioner and Appellee

v.

J.S.,

Respondent and Appellant

No. 20000344

Appeal from the District Court of Stutsman County, Southeast Judicial District,
the Honorable Ronald E. Goodman, Judge.

AFFIRMED.

Per Curiam.

Charles J. Gilje and Kenneth L. Dalsted (argued), Special Assistant Attorneys
General, 208 Second Avenue SW, P.O. Box 1727, Jamestown, N.D. 58402-1727, for
petitioner and appellee.

Randall L. Hoffman, 216 Northland Estates, Jamestown, N.D. 58401, for
respondent and appellant.

Interest of J.S.

No. 20000344

Per Curiam.

[¶1] On remand, based on this Court's opinion in Interest of J.S., 2001 ND 10, the district court entered written findings of fact and conclusions of law regarding the continued treatment of J.S. at the State Hospital and the availability of less restrictive treatment. Relying on the testimony of both Dr. William Pryatel and J.S., the district court concluded J.S. is a danger to himself or others if not treated. The district court also concluded less restrictive treatment is not possible because the testimony established J.S. would not remain on prescribed medication under less restrictive conditions. Concluding the district court's continuing treatment order is based on findings of fact that are not clearly erroneous, we summarily affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(2).

[¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J.
Dale V. Sandstrom
William A. Neumann
Mary Muehlen Maring
Carol Ronning Kapsner